This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

ects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:	
BLACK BORDERS	
I IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES	
FADED TEXT OR DRAWING	
BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING	
SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES	
COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS	
GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS	
LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT	
REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY	

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.





UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box. 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/075,861	02/14/2002	Timothy Andreas Meserth	RPS920010150US1	9416		
25299	7590 08/24/2004		EXAMINER HANNE, SARA M		EXAMI	EXAMINER
IBM CORPO	ORATION					
PO BOX 121 DEPT 9CCA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	TRIANGLE PARK, N	C 27709	2179			
			DATE MAILED: 08/24/200-	4		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



•			<u> </u>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<i>i</i>
	10/075,861	MESERTH ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sara M Hanne	2179	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tily within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. If the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E			
Disposition of Claims			
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 February 2003</u> is/are			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	_		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/14/02</u>. 	4) Interview Summan Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/075,861

Art Unit: 2179

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takahashi et al., US Patent 5999162, and further in view of Lefave, US Patent 6704012.

Takahashi et al. teaches an icon as a portion of the display (winding-up position line, Figure 10) determining the position of the icon (predetermined) and refreshing the graphical representation responsive to receiving a new data point (Column 4, lines 45-53), wherein the position of the icon determines how much historical data is retained in the refreshed display (Column 2, lines 11-20). While Takahashi et al. teaches refreshing the display where the amount of information to be retained is based on a user determined position, they fail to show the user positionable icon as a portion of the display as recited in Claims 1, 8 and 15. In the same field of the invention, Lefave teaches a graphical display with data adjustment similar to that of Takahashi et al.. In addition, Lefave further teaches a user-positionable icon as a portion of the display controlling the amount of information to be retained (slider bar and icon, ref. 22-23 of Figure 1 and Column 8, lines 28-35). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art,

Application/Control Number: 10/075,861

Art Unit: 2179

having the teachings of Takahashi et al. and Lefave before him at the time the invention was made, to modify refreshing of the display where the amount of information to be retained is based on a user determined position taught by Takahashi et al. to include the user-positionable icon of Lefave, in order to obtain an interface for controlling the amount of information to be retained when the screen is refreshed. One would have been motivated to make such a combination because an interactive and runtime control for setting the desired display area would have been obtained, as taught by <u>Lefave</u>.

As in Claims 2, 9 and 16, Takahashi teaches the graphical representation to be refreshed when the graphical representation is full (Column 2, lines 15-21).

As in Claims 3, 10 and 17, Takahashi teaches shifting all data points horizontally by a displacement, the displacement determined by the position of the icon ("moving the graph to a predetermined position toward the one end of the display screen", Column 2, lines 14-16).

As in Claims 4, 11 and 18, Takahashi teaches appending a new data point to the display without discarding any historical data when the display is not full (Column 2, lines 15-21).

As in Claims 5, 12 and 19, Takahashi teaches the position of the icon determining the location of the first new data point occurring after the display is refreshed (Column 7, lines 42-47).

As in Claims 6, 13 and 20, Takahashi teaches the representation including a left side vertical axis and a right side vertical axis, wherein data points in proximity to the left-side vertical axis are older than data points in proximity to the

Application/Control Number: 10/075,861 Page 4

Art Unit: 2179

right-side vertical axis (Column 5, lines 7-14, Figures 10-11 and corresponding text).

As in Claims 7, 14 and 21, Takahashi teaches the positioning of the icon at the left-side vertical axis will erase all historical data when the representation is refreshed and wherein positioning of the icon at the right side vertical axis will erase a single data point when the representation is refreshed (Columns 7-8, lines 61-6, respectively).

Art Unit: 2179

Conclusion

The prior art made of record on form PTO-892 and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Applicant is required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.111(c) to consider these references fully when responding to this action. The documents cited therein teach similar graphical display controls and slider bars.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sara M Hanne whose telephone number is (703) 305-0703. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30am-4:00pm, off on alternating Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather R Herndon can be reached on (703) 308-5186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

smh